

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES PORTER, BRYAN PEREZ, and
DRO ESRAEILI ESTEPANIAN, DENNIS
ROMANEZ, ARTEM KUPRIIETS, NEIL
KREUZER, WAFAY NADIR, and
KENNETH BROWN, on behalf of themselves
and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

TESLA, INC.,

Defendant.

AND RELATED CASE

SAMUEL VAN DIEST and SERGEY
KHALIKULOV, on behalf of themselves and
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

TESLA, INC. dba TESLA MOTORS,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:23-cv-03878-YGR

AND

Case No. 4:23-cv-04098-YGR

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT TESLA, INC.'S
MOTION TO COMPEL
ARBITRATION AND TO DISMISS
CLASS ACTION COMPLAINTS**

Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
Ctrm: 1, 4th Flr – Oakland Courthouse

1 Defendant Tesla, Inc.’s (“Defendant”) Motion To Compel Arbitration Of Plaintiffs’
 2 Claims On An Individual Basis (the “Motion”) came on regularly for hearing in the above-
 3 referenced Court. Having considered the papers submitted in support of and in opposition to the
 4 Motion, as well as admissible evidence and counsel’s arguments in connection therewith, the
 5 Court hereby orders as follows:

6 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendant’s Motion is **GRANTED**. Plaintiffs James
 7 Porter, Dro Israeili Estepanian, Bryan Perez, Dennis Romanez, Artem Kupriiets, Neil Kruezer,
 8 Wafay Nadir, Kenneth Brown, Samuel Van Diest, and Sergey Khalikulov are hereby compelled
 9 to arbitrate their claims against Defendant on an individual, non-class basis.

10 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that these actions (*James Porter et al. v. Tesla, Inc.*, Case
 11 No. 4:23-cv-03878-YGR and *Samuel Van Diest et al v. Tesla, Inc.*, 4:23-cv-04098-YGR) are
 12 **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

13
 14 DATED: _____

 YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
 U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE